CASCADE POINT FERRY TERMINAL - ALTERNATIVES DECISION										MATRIX							
		Decision Factor	rs Weight		Marine Alternative Scoring										Definition of Criteria		
	Category	Criteria	Alternative 1				Alternative 2			Alternative 3		Alternative 4		4			
	Cate			Input Score	Normalized Score	Weighted Score	Input Score	Normalized Score	Weighted Score	Input Score	Normalized Score	Weighted Score	Input Score	Normalized Score	Weighted Score		
1	1	Construction Cost	30.0%	1.10	0.91	0.27	1.03	0.97	0.29	1.02	0.98	0.29	1.00	1.00	0.30	ROM estimated construction cost \$ amount (ratio to lowest cost)	
	2	Permitting Costs	1.0%	2.00	1.00	0.01	2.00	1.00	0.01	2.00	1.00	0.01	2.00	1.00	0.01	Costs to develop construction permits and potential mitigation costs. 1 = Low 2 = Neutral 3 = High	
	3	Phase 1 Construction Costs	9.0%	1.00	1.00	0.09	2.69	0.37	0.03	1.00	1.00	0.09	1.44	0.70	0.06	ROM estimated Phase 1 construction cost \$ amount (ratio to lowest cost)	
	4	Vessel Berth Orientation	11.0%	3.00	0.33	0.04	3.00	0.33	0.04	1.00	1.00	0.11	2.00	0.50	0.06	Port side stern berth (preferred berth orientation by AMHS staff) Side berth (port or starboard, port side preferred by AMHS staff) Starboard side stern berth (least preferred by AMHS staff)	
	5	Wind and Wave Exposure w/ Breakwater	4.0%	2.00	1.00	0.04	2.00	1.00	0.04	2.00	1.00	0.04	2.00	1.00	0.04	Exposure to wind and wave conditions that may limit operations. 1 = Minimal exposure 2 = Moderate exposure 3 = Exposed	
	6	Facility Operability	10.0%	1.00	1.00	0.10	1.00	1.00	0.10	1.00	1.00	0.10	1.00	1.00	0.10	Wind and wave effects on seasonal operability if construction is phased without breakwater operations. 1 = Function as homeport (potential year-round operations) 2 =Summer seasonal service only (with some weather interruptions) 3 = Limited seasonal service	
		Site Use and Safety	3.0%	2.00	0.50	0.02	2.00	0.50	0.02	1.00	1.00	0.03	1.00	1.00	0.03	Potential operational conflicts with Goldbelt Operations. 1 = Minimal conflict potential 2 = Some conflict potential 3 = Significant conflict potential	
•	Operations	Security	1.0%	2.00	0.50	0.01	2.00	0.50	0.01	1.00	1.00	0.01	1.00	1.00	0.01	Does securing the AMHS facility with fencing and gates impact other Stakeholder's operations? 1 = Minimal conflict potential 2 = Some conflict potential 3 = Significant conflict potential	
		AMHS Operational Preference	10.0%	3.00	0.33	0.03	3.00	0.33	0.03	1.00	1.00	0.10	2.00	0.50	0.05	Is this a preferred operational alternative for AMHS? 1 = Preferred operation 2 = Neutral operation 3 = Not practical for operation	
	10) Impacts to Upland Area	1.0%	2.00	1.00	0.01	2.00	1.00	0.01	2.00	1.00	0.01	3.00	0.67	0.01	Does the alternative effect the upland area onsite? 1 =Minimal impact 2 = Neutral impact 3 = Most impact	
	1	Dredging Volume	1.0%	1.58	0.63	0.01	2.15	0.46	0.00	2.08	0.48	0.005	1.00	1.00	0.01	ROM dredge quantity estimate ratio to smallest estimated volume	
	12	! Impacts to Cascade Creek	1.0%	2.00	1.00	0.01	2.00	1.00	0.01	2.00	1.00	0.01	2.00	1.00	0.01	Does the alternative conflict with Cascade Creek? 1 =No impact 2 =Some impacts	
	1	Phased Construction Potential	3.0%	1.00	1.00	0.03	2.00	0.50	0.02	1.00	1.00	0.03	1.00	1.00	0.03	Can the construction be phased. 1 = Yes 2 = No	
	Expansion	Stakeholder Future Use of the Site	5.0%	2.00	0.50	0.03	2.00	0.50	0.03	2.00	0.50	0.03	1.00	1.00	0.05	Does the Layout allow for Stakeholder expansion? 1 = Minimal conflict potential 2 = Some conflict potential 3 = Significant conflict potential	
	1!	Operational Risk	5.0%	3.00	0.67	0.03	3.00	0.67	0.03	2.00	1.00	0.05	2.00	1.00	0.05	berthing layout, and loading/unloading operations. 1 = Low 2 = Moderate 3= High	
		i Project Schedule	3.0%	3.00	0.67	0.02	3.00	0.67	0.02	2.00	1.00	0.03	2.00	1.00	0.03	Risk to project schedule. 1 = Low 2 = Moderate 3 = High 4 = Very high	
	1:	, Overall Constructability Risk	2.0%	4.00	0.75	0.02	4.00	0.75	0.02	3.00	1.00	0.02	3.00	1.00	0.02	Risk of cost or schedule inflation due to unknown/ undetermined variables at the time of this analysis. 1 = Low 2 = Moderate 3 = High 4 = Very high	
H		1	100.0%	Ove	erall Scores*	75.36		l .	69.76		1	96.41		l	86.43	,	

Instructions	Key		
	Input score here		
In the yellow colored boxes, input scores for each option and criteria according to the	Highest scored option		
scoring system defined in the "Definition of Criteria" column. All other cells update automatically.	Lowest scored option		

Highest S Alterna		А	Iternative	3								
Score Summary by Category												
Major Total Category Weight		Alternative 1 Score*	Alternative 1 Rank	Alternative 2 Score*	Alternative 2 Rank	Alternative 3 Score*	Alternative 3 Rank	Alternative 4 Score*	Alternative 4 Rank			
Cost 40.0%		37.40	2	33.47	4	39.43	1	37.26	3			
Operation 42.0%		25.63	3	25.46	4	41.48	1	31.17	2			
Expansion 8.0%		5.50	2	4.00	4	5.50	2	8.00	1			
Risk	10.0%	6.83	3	6.83	3	10.00	1	10.00	1			
Totals 100.0% 75.4		75.4	3	69.8	4	96.4	1	86.4	2			

^{*}Note matrix scores multiplied by 100 for clarity.